Case 2:02-cr-00444-LS Document 68 Filed 04/07/09 Page 1 of 39

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 02-CR-444-1

VS.

ANTHONY LAMAR WILLIAMS,

Philadelphia, PA September 13, 2007

Defendant. 12:03 p.m.

TRANSCRIPT OF VIOLATION OF SUPERVISED RELEASE HEARING BEFORE THE HONORABLE JAMES T. GILES UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Government: DAVID E. TROYER, ESQUIRE

ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

615 Chestnut Street

Suite 1250

Philadelphia, PA 19106-4476

For the Defendant: WILLIAM J. BRENNAN, ESQUIRE

LAW OFFICES OF WILLIAM J. BRENNAN

100 North 18th Street

Two Logan Square

12th Floor

Philadelphia, PA 19103

Audio Operator: FRANK W. HAUSER

Transcribed by: DIANA DOMAN TRANSCRIBING

P.O. Box 129

Gibbsboro, New Jersey 08026-0129 Office: (856) 435-7172 Fax: (856) 435-7124

E-mail: dianadoman@comcast.net

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

1 (The following was heard in open court at 12:03 2 p.m.) 3 MR. BRENNAN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Good afternoon. Please be seated. 5 Appearances, please, counsel for the record. 6 MR. TROYER: Good afternoon, Your Honor. David Troyer, Assistant U.S. Attorney for the United States. I'm 7 8 accompanied today by United States Probation Officer, Jane 9 Schoonmaker. 10 MR. BRENNAN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. 11 William J. Brennan, CJA counsel for the defendant, Anthony 12 Lamar Williams, who's to my right at counsel table. 13 THE COURT: Mr. Williams, would you please stand, 14 sir, to be sworn or affirmed. Raise your right hand and state 15 your name. THE DEFENDANT: Anthony Lamar Williams. 16 ANTHONY LAMAR WILLIAMS, DEFENDANT, SWORN 17 18 COURTROOM DEPUTY: Thank you. Please be seated. THE COURT: What are the claimed violations? 19 What are the claimed violations? 20 MR. TROYER: The violations in this case as outlined 21 in the -- in the petition are that the defendant failed to 22 23 report as directed to the probation officer and to the Court and to submit complete written reports. 24 25 The defendant was reminded of his reporting

requirements and his drug testing requirements. The defendant failed to report on August 16th, 2006, September 13th, 2006, September 20th, 2006, October 11th, 2006, November 16th, 2006, November 21st, 2006, November 22nd, 2006, December 6th, 2006, December 12th, 2006, December 13th, 2006, December 21st, 2006, December 28th 2006, and January 4th, 2007.

He was also not available for a prearranged home visit on November 20th, 2006. As a result, these -- these are violations of Grade C violations. The last contact with Mr. Williams with the Probation Office was at his home on November 14th, 2006, and, after which time, Mr. Williams absconded.

The next one is a violation of Standard Condition 7,

"The defendant shall refrain from excessive use of alcohol and shall not purchase, possess, use, distribute or administer controlled substances or any paraphernalia."

On September 15th, 2006, Mr. Williams tested positive for morphine. He did not have a prescription for this medication. After failing to provide a valid prescription, he admitted on October 21st, 2006, that he may have taken his girlfriend's prescription medication. The next one is -- that is also a Grade C violation.

The next one is that he shall participate -- "The defendant shall participate in drug aftercare treatment programs."

The -- since his violation hearing in August of 2006, he had advised that he was attending outpatient appointments, was on a waiting list for an inpatient appointment. However, the defendant, after having been seen at the Veteran's Administration and having been given an inpatient bed on October 26th, 2006, Mr. Williams called the V.A. and advised them that he needed a new date for reporting, a new date for the bed because of alleged deaths in his family.

A new date was given to him, however, he failed to report to the inpatient program as agreed on November 7th, 2006, and he did not report back again after that. That is also a Grade C violation.

Those are the violations alleged in the current petition. Yeah. And that -- there has been an arrest since then. Also, recently, in the City of Philadelphia, Mr. -- after Mr. Williams had absconded, that is how Mr. Williams was brought back, once again, to this Court is -- is through an arrest in the City of Philadelphia.

So, he was arrested on -- on yet another burglary charge on July 14th, 2007, and having spent some time in the City, he was recently released to the custody of the U.S.

Marshals for -- for the purpose of this hearing.

That case is pending by the way. There was recently a preliminary hearing. He was held over for trial in that

case, but that case has not been disposed.

THE COURT: Mr. Brennan.

MR. BRENNAN: Your Honor, with respect to the recitation of the violations, to my understanding having met with Mr. Williams and having spoken prior to today's hearing with Probation Office Schoonmaker, that Mr. Williams does not contest the violations themselves and will stipulate to them. He wishes, obviously, to address them and offer mitigation.

I do not represent him, Your Honor, on the local case. I have represented him for, I don't know, I guess the better part of eight or nine years along with this Court and Mr. Troyer, as CJA counsel. His fiancé, Baaqia Badia, is here in Court and reports to me as does Mr. Williams that the local matter has been reduced to summary offenses but I am not counsel in that case. I -- I make that representation simply as a conduit of the information that was passed on to me, not as an officer of the Court.

Pardon me, Your Honor.

(Pause in proceedings.)

MR. BRENNAN: Your Honor, the failure to report allegations that we've addressed in the past, Mr. Williams asks me to present to the Court -- and I'll show a copy -- I've just received this from Ms. Badia, that he has been working.

I have a letter from -- I'll mark it as Defense 1

with the Court's permission for identification purposes, from PHA Property Management, LLC, of 243 Glenside Avenue, Holmes, H-O-L-M-E-S, PA, 19043 from Kelly Leahan, L-E-A-H-A-N, indicating that Ms. Leahan certifies Mr. Williams was subcontracted as a laborer of PHA Property Management from February, 2007, through July, 2007.

Ms. Leahan is aware that Mr. Williams is incarcerated and he will have a job with PS -- PHA when released. So I'll show it to counsel and submit it to the Court for Your Honor's consideration.

Mr. Williams indicates to me that his job schedule was such that he had to make decisions as to whether or not to report or to lose the job. He further reports to me and I'm sure Ms. Schoonmaker can verify and will correct me if I'm wrong, that while he did have some failures to report on his scheduled visits, that he was, in fact, taking a drug test which is -- may I hand this up, Your Honor? And he --

MR. BRENNAN: -- wishes the Court to take those into -- those factors into consideration. I believe Ms. Badia, with the Court's permission, would like to speak on his behalf.

THE COURT: But first, Mr. Williams?

THE DEFENDANT: Hm-hmm.

THE COURT: Yes.

THE COURT: The claims against you by the

Prosecution have been reviewed here in Court. Did you hear 1 2 those? 3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Do you admit that you violated the 5 conditions of supervised release as stated? THE DEFENDANT: The Class C violation, as far as me 6 7 having an arrest pending, that arrest was dismissed. 8 THE COURT: That matter's not before me. 9 THE DEFENDANT: Okay. As far as the -- not seeking 10 out -- outpatient treatment, I did seek outpatient treatment. 11 That was part of the dilemma because between the outpatient 12 treatment and my job and the home visits. Ms. Schoonmaker 13 knew that I was working during the hours -- the daily hours so 14 she would give me a home visit so how could I be home and work 15 at the same time and --16 THE COURT: Well, do you admit to the violations as 17 outlined in this petition? 18 THE DEFENDANT: This is the first time I seen this violation so I didn't even get a chance to read them. 19 THE COURT: Well, do read it. They do not include 20 21 anything about the --22 THE DEFENDANT: Okay. 23 THE COURT: -- burglary charge. MR. BRENNAN: May he be seated, Your Honor? 24 25 THE COURT: Yes.

(Pause in proceedings.)

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I -- I -- as far as seeking the outpatient treatment, as far as me claiming that I called out saying that I rescheduled for a bed, I was on a waiting list for inpatient treatment at the V.A. I was analyzed and I was told that I was not -- did not qualify for inpatient to -- to the fact that it was people who needed it more than me. And the fact that I was working everyday and I would go to the outpatient treat -- treatment twice a week with my busy schedule and I was taking -- going to take the urines here every week.

And as far as the visits -- the home visit, I got a letter from her that my mother's boyfriend never gave to me.

I hadn't -- it was two weeks when I got the letter saying that I had to report to her on a Wednesday or she would put a warrant out for my arrest. That was two -- two weeks prior so that's when the warrant was issued so that's when the problems -- I had to make a choice to either stay at my job which they didn't know that I was on a supervised release. I feared that I was going to lose my job.

Since I've been arrested, I contacted my job. They said that I can have my job when I get out, whatever, but that was the main issue. I didn't want to lose my job so as far as Ms. -- Ms. Schoonmaker not compromising at all with me when I would come late, I would not get home till 8:00 so I could not

Colloquy be there at 7:00 which -- before 6:00 so --1 2 THE COURT: Did you tell the probation officer that you were working for PHA? 3 THE DEFENDANT: At the time -- at the time, I 4 5 started working in February but at that time, I was working over in Jersey so I had to take two buses but --6 7 THE COURT: Did you ever tell her that you were 8 working for PHA? 9 THE DEFENDANT: No. I got that job in February. 10 THE COURT: February, '07? 11 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. I was working over in Jersey 12 during the time. All -- since my release, then -- release in 13 2006, I've been working but this is a steady job that I have 14 now. 15 So when she -- I read the letter saying I would have 16 the warrant so if report and get the warrant, I'd be locked up 17 anyway so I just, you know, decided to stay on the job. And 18 when she came to get me, I figured, you know, there's nothing 19 I can do -- I just have to take the punishment. 20 THE COURT: So, you deny that you were in violation 21 of supervised release with respect to the out -- inpatient --22 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: -- requirement?

23

24

25

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I'm -- see, I'm not doing -- I went to the outpatient treatment because of me, not because I

was demanded, you know, I just did it for my own sake. 1 2 I -- I went and -- I sought out treatment but they analyzed me and said that I didn't have to go to inpatient treatment 3 4 because down the V.A. is more severe cases than I was. You 5 got homeless guys down there. 6 So, they said I have to wait for a bed so I was 7 doing the outpatient treatment, you know, then I had to go 8 make that appointment and I had to go take the urinalysis, 9 then, I had to be at home when she told me to be at home, so, 10 you know --11 THE COURT: Were you given a date of November 7, 12 2006? 13 THE DEFENDANT: Not -- never -- I don't know where 14 she got that date from. I have no idea where she got that 15 date, and she -- now, I explained to her --16 THE COURT: When was the last time you contacted the 17 V.A.? 18 THE DEFENDANT: In January. I had to get my medicine from the V.A. My Dilantin and my blood pressure 19 medicine. 20 21 THE COURT: When was the last time you contacted the V.A. about inpatient treatment? 22 23 THE DEFENDANT: Mr. Allen -- I contacted Mr. Allen back in December and it was still -- well, I was going -- at 24

that time, basically, I was working and as far as inpatient

25

1 treatment, I thought I was, you know, well enough, you know, 2 to keep outpatient treatment. So, basically, Mr. Allen was very busy with the other veterans, so. 3 4 You know, and I talked to Ms. Schoonmaker. She said 5 it was okay, you know, I had no ideas that she would violate 6 me for that issue. 7 THE COURT: What was okay? THE DEFENDANT: That I just remain with the 8 9 outpatient treatment. 10 THE COURT: When did she tell you that? THE DEFENDANT: She told me that on a home visit. 11 12 THE COURT: When? 13 THE DEFENDANT: Back in November. 14 THE COURT: Why didn't you contact her after 15 November? 16 THE DEFENDANT: The problem was, she told -- they --17 the Probation Department went to a color-based urinalysis. My 18 color was black. I would call everyday to see if I -- which day I take the urinalysis. She said that I had -- didn't have 19 to see her anymore, basically, just I -- just to see her to 20 21 bring in my pay stubs or whatever. 22 So, I was taking a urinalysis, then, one -- two 23 weeks later, she had came for the home visit --24 MR. BRENNAN: Excuse me, Mr. -- may I just have a

25

moment, Your Honor?

Colloquy (Pause in proceedings.) 1 2 Thank you, Your Honor. MR. BRENNAN: THE DEFENDANT: I'm not a -- I mean, I'm not sitting 3 4 here, well, I might have misunderstood what she meant about me 5 getting inpatient treatment. I'm not trying to throw her under the bus but like I said, I have no idea of why she put 6 7 down what she put, but as far as me calling the V.A. saying that I didn't want the bed, I did not do that. 8 9 I couldn't get a bed anyway because it wasn't ready 10 I was analyzed and they -- they came to the decision 11 that I didn't need inpatient treatment. 12 THE COURT: Thank you. I'll hear from the probation 13 officer with respect to the inpatient treatment. 14 MS. SCHOONMAKER: During my visits with Mr. 15 Williams, we frequently talked about treatment at the V.A. and 16 he every time would tell me that he was waiting for an 17 inpatient date. 18 If I could look up my notes, I can find one that -where he told me that --19 THE COURT: The defendant was required by the Court 20 21 to participate in a drug aftercare program and intensive drug treatment program. 22

> MS. SCHOONMAKER: Yes.

23

24

25

THE COURT: And the V.A. program was acceptable as such a treatment program.

1 MS. SCHOONMAKER: Yes. 2 THE COURT: Did you excuse Mr. Williams from compliance with the Court's direction? 3 4 MS. SCHOONMAKER: No, I did not. 5 THE COURT: At any time? 6 MS. SCHOONMAKER: No. 7 THE COURT: Did you tell him that if he enrolled in an inpatient treatment program and participated in it, that 8 9 would satisfy the condition of intensive drug treatment? 10 MS. SCHOONMAKER: I did not have that specific 11 conversation about inpatient treatment being the final 12 treatment. 13 THE COURT: Well, not final treatment but that --14 that was what was required by the Court order. 15 MS. SCHOONMAKER: Yes. 16 THE COURT: In the petition, it says that Mr. 17 Williams was given a bed date of November 7, 2006, by Mr. 18 Allen. What is the basis for that representation? 19 MS. SCHOONMAKER: When I spoke to Mr. Allen, he told 20 me that he had scheduled Mr. Williams twice for inpatient 21 treatment and he failed to follow through with the last bed 22 23 date. THE COURT: And that date was November 7? 24

MS. SCHOONMAKER: Yes.

25

1	THE COURT: Did Mr. Williams advise you that he
2	could not participate or he thought he couldn't participate in
3	the inpatient program because he was working, it would
4	interfere with his work?
5	MS. SCHOONMAKER: No.
6	THE COURT: Where did you believe he was working?
7	MS. SCHOONMAKER: He told me that he was calling to
8	Manpower every day looking for work. He never reported any
9	stable employment.
10	THE COURT: Were you under the impression he was
11	working in New Jersey?
12	MS. SCHOONMAKER: Not at that time.
13	THE COURT: When did you become aware that he was
14	claiming to work in New Jersey?
15	MS. SCHOONMAKER: This was after the first violation
16	proceedings two years ago. He worked for a short time in New
17	Jersey and, then, that ended and that was the last that I had
18	heard he was working in New Jersey. He did not have any
19	steady employment.
20	After the last violation hearing, he never provided
21	me with any pay stubs or payments towards the special
22	assessment or the restitution and he never claimed to have a
23	steady job.
24	THE COURT: Did he fill out reports with respect to

his work or lack of work?

Colloquy The last report I have from him is 1 MS. SCHOONMAKER: 2 October. He reported working for Boscov's in October and September but never provided any pay stubs. October, there 3 4 was no employment. 5 THE COURT: What efforts, if any, did you make to б contact Mr. Williams on or after November 14, 2006? 7 MS. SCHOONMAKER: I went by the house and I left 8 appointment notices. I spoke to his mother's boyfriend who 9 didn't know where he was or he wasn't home so I would leave an 10 appointment notice for him to come in. 11 The last appointment notice I left at his house was 12 on December 8th. 13 THE COURT: Was anyone home at the time? 14 MS. SCHOONMAKER: December 8th -- no. 15 THE COURT: Did you ever send a letter to his 16 address? 17 MS. SCHOONMAKER: I did not mail any. I left an 18 appointment notice with his mother's boyfriend on November 20th to come in on November 22nd. But he was also supposed to 19 be reporting for the urine testing through the Code-A-Phone 20 21 Program which he was not doing as well. THE COURT: How did that work? 22

MS. SCHOONMAKER: He would have to call a phone number every day and he was assigned a color. If his color came up, he would have to come in the next day for testing.

23

24

25

THE COURT: On what dates did his number come up, if 1 2 you --3 MS. SCHOONMAKER: We started the program at the 4 beginning of -- the beginning of November. 5 The first time his color came up was November 16th. The second time was November 22nd. The next was December 6th. 6 7 The next was December 13th, then, on the 21st, December 28th 8 and January 4th at which time I had to issue the warrant. THE COURT: Was he taken out of the Code-A-Phone 9 10 Program at that point? 11 MS. SCHOONMAKER: Yes. THE COURT: Under violation B to which defendant --12 13 as which the defendant admits the violation, what medication 14 was the defendant taking? MS. SCHOONMAKER: He told me that he may have taken 15 16 his girlfriend's prescription of Tylenol 4 with codeine which 17 would result in a morphine positive. 18 He tested positive one other time but he did have a valid prescription for that --19 THE COURT: For morphine? 20 MS. SCHOONMAKER: -- and I did not charge him with 21 that. 22 23 THE COURT: Was it for morphine that he previously tested positive? 24 25 MS. SCHOONMAKER: No, it was hydrocodone.

1 THE COURT: And what prescription did he have? 2 MS. SCHOONMAKER: He had a prescription for hydrocodone and tested positive for hydrocodone at a different 3 4 time. 5 THE COURT: From what condition did he suffer so as б to have a prescription? MS. SCHOONMAKER: He claimed to have had an abscess 7 on his bottom and had to go to the hospital. 8 9 THE COURT: All right. Anything else, Mr. Brennan, 10 on claimed violation C, the V.A. inpatient program? 11 MR. BRENNAN: No, Your Honor, only what the 12 defendant has offered as mitigation or an explanation for 13 his --14 THE COURT: I find by preponderance of the 15 evidence --16 MR. BRENNAN: -- failure to attend. 17 THE COURT: -- that the defendant has failed to 18 report to the V.A. Hospital for inpatient treatment on November 7, 2006 and thereafter. 19 By his admissions and by the Court's findings, the 20 defendant stands in violation of the conditions cited --21 conditions of supervised release cited. 22 23

The question before the Court now is whether or not there should be revocation of the supervised release. What is the position of the prosecution?

24

25

MR. TROYER: Your Honor, the Government asks that the Court does revoke the defendant's supervised release.

This -- this defendant has -- has proven himself to be just completely non-amenable to supervision. He -- he doesn't abide by conditions. He doesn't comply with the conditions mandated by the Court. He doesn't report to the Probation Department and, then, after a series of those, he absconds and this has been a repeated pattern.

Were this the first time the defendant was in -- in front of this Court for violations of supervised release, then, perhaps revocation might be too harsh a sanction but this is not the first time. This defendant has been before this Court repeatedly and the pattern of conduct is -- is remarkable.

Again, it's always the same, failure to comply, failure to report; he absconds, then, he gets picked up on -- until -- on his next arrest and brought before the Court.

He's always got an explanation. He's always got an excuse. He always blames somebody else for his problems. He blames the Probation. He blames the V.A. He says it's always somebody else's fault. It's always somebody else's fault except Anthony Williams according to Anthony Williams and, again, he's doing the same thing here.

Normally, we would want the defendant to remain on supervised release in this situation because he still has an

Troyer - Argument

outstanding balance of his restitution and he hasn't even paid his special assessment over all these years from 2002. But in this circumstance, I -- I don't think that supervision is anything but -- but, frankly, a waste of time and -- and Government money on Anthony Williams.

And as a result, I think what -- the only thing the Court can really do is, based on Anthony Williams' own conduct, is -- is to warehouse him, is to punish him for his violations and because then the question becomes, you know, what -- what sanction should be applied and -- and I know that the Guideline range is seven to 13 but Mr. Williams has been incarcerated now for nine months.

He -- he is entitled to credit time served for the nine months that he's be in under -- under -- and I think that would -- to simply give him a Guideline range sentence which, of course, are only advisory and have only -- always only have been advisory in -- in these situations anyhow would -- would be practically to reward Mr. Williams for his bad conduct and would affirm Mr. Williams' obvious view that he has that he can just play the system every time and just fail to comply with every -- every Court mandate.

THE COURT: What is the statutory maximum for a violation?

MR. TROYER: I am urging the statutory maximum which is 36 months in this case. Mr. Williams has -- has earned

б

that sentence at this point and -- and, again, I think,
this -- in this situation, he is in that small category -category of persons who just will not be supervised, will not
comply and will not respect the -- the orders of the Court.

I might add, too, that he was not, despite his claims here today, was not getting drug treatment because he yearned for drug treatment and it was all something he was doing on his own.

This defendant came before this Court last time and -- and his excuse -- his main excuse of many last time was that, well, whatever problems I had, I've had a drug problem, it's because I have drug problems and I need drug help.

And -- and that's why that was mandated. It was -- it was ordered by the Court and it was ordered by the Court at the -- essentially, at the defendant's request or, at least, because of the defendant's own claims.

Now, he comes in and he says, well, I didn't really need it but I wanted it, and so, you know, no harm, no foul, essentially. And that's just simply not -- not the case. He even tried to claim he didn't read the petition before he came into Court.

He says, oh, I have a job. He comes in, he offers last minute submissions. I can't -- we can't verify whether there's any -- any employer who's really willing to take him on. But even assuming that there is with this last minute,

unverified submission of Mr. Williams which he always seems to have ready on the day of Court but not before, Mr. Williams never reported that -- that employment to his probation officer and, of course, he didn't because he was a fugitive then.

If, as he claims, he -- he obtained that current -- or that last job in February of 2007, that was -- that was three months, almost three months, after the last time he saw his probation officer and he was already in fugitive status then. So, he -- he was not -- he was not compliant whether or not he -- he had a job.

And, so I think, unfortunately, this is really -Mr. Williams really doesn't leave the Court with too many
options here, I mean, viable options, perhaps, I would submit.
And, I think, the only thing -- only reasonable option is
to -- is to sentence Mr. Williams to the amount of time that
he has earned which -- which in the Government's view is 36
months and to revoke his supervised release.

THE COURT: Does the Government seek a re-imposition of the supervised release?

MR. TROYER: No, I'm not because I don't -- I don't believe Mr. Williams will -- will ever be -- be amenable to supervised release. I think supervised release is -- is something that's -- that is not -- frankly, it's going to burn up more Government resources. It will not -- it certainly

will not help Mr. Williams. I don't think it's going to be 1 2 beneficial to the Government either. THE COURT: And how about the fine and the special 3 4 assessment? 5 MR. TROYER: The special assessment, obviously, he б still owes and -- and he would be -- he has to pay that. It 7 is mandatory, but I -- although, quite frankly, I -- I doubt 8 that we'll ever see that money. 9 THE COURT: Mr. Brennan? MR. BRENNAN: Your Honor, would the Court permit me 10 to call Baaqia Badia on the defendant's behalf? 11 12 THE COURT: Yes. 13 MR. BRENNAN: Ms. Badia, would you step up, please? Where would you like her, Your Honor, the podium 14 15 or --16 (Pause in proceedings.) 17 COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please state your full name for the record and spell your name for the record. 18 19 THE WITNESS: Baaqia Badia, B-A-A-Q-I-A, last name, B-A-D-I-A. 20 COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please raise your right hand. 21 BAAQIA BADIA, DEFENDANT'S WITNESS, SWORN 22 23 COURTROOM DEPUTY: Thank you. MR. BRENNAN: Ms. Badia, this is an opportunity to 24 speak to the Court, not necessarily about facts or 25

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

circumstances of the case but more to reasons that the Court should fashion an appropriate sentence for Mr. Williams, your fiancé.

MS. BADIA: I believe he has been trying -- in fact, I know he has been as far as going to the V.A. because there's been a time where I took him to the V.A. where we were at the Y and he said something about hypertension so he has gone there and he has gone to seek drug counseling as well.

He even on the weekends late at night -- my mom is a recovering addict in Narcotics Anonymous and she has 17 years clean and he has meetings with my mom, and they would talk about recovery and addictions, other addict and things like that.

THE COURT: Were aware of his conditions since supervised release?

MS. BADIA: Supervised release, no. Only thing I know, when he told me that he had to report, you know, I know he was working over in Camden. And when -- this was like, I'm going to say, around, like, October of '06. He was working over in Camden and I know he did go for a job at Boscov's because he was excited and he did let the probation officer know that as well.

And as far as the job with PHA, that is still available upon his release and he has been trying very hard and he has been trying all the way around the board and I just

Badia - Statement 1 see the change in him since I've known him from what he used 2 to do as to what he's doing now. 3 And as far as him saying that, you know, he's 4 getting too old. He has to make a change and if he was given 5 an opportunity, that he would not make that mistake ever again and I believe him. 6 7 THE COURT: Any questions? 8 MR. TROYER: Ma'am, were you -- were you aware 9 that -- that he's -- that he was a fugitive? MS. BADIA: No. 10 MR. TROYER: Were you aware that he wasn't reporting 11 12 to -- to the probation officer since November of 2006? 13 MS. BADIA: No. 14 MR. TROYER: He didn't share that with you? 15 MS. BADIA: No. 16 MR. TROYER: All right. Thank you. 17 THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am. 18 MR. BRENNAN: Thank you, Ms. Badia. Your Honor, again, with regard to much of what the 19 20 prosecution has said with regard to the chronology of the 21 matter, the defense has no dispute. We have been here more 22

than once.

Your Honor, I'm -- that concludes my remarks.

THE DEFENDANT: Okay. I'd like to say something, Your Honor.

23

24

25

Okay. I was here more than once but the violations when I was here last time, I was -- I was here for an arrest and after everything was said and done, I -- I was -- the arrest was dismissed.

As far as me not seeking treatment for the -- of the -- it says right here I -- I received treatment on October the 18th and I got a bed date. That was -- I did -- they was going to give me a bed date but I was not analyzed for inpatient treatment so as far as me working, she knew -- I never -- she stated that I was before her two years ago. She wasn't even my probation officer.

The last time I was here, it was in August the 8th and she knew that I was working over in New Jersey. That was one of the conditions, that I had to go get a job. So she stated that she had no idea I was working over in Jersey and as far as the morphine, I stated to her that I was taking the hydrocodone. I don't know if that would tested positive for morphine. So as far as this -- the record --

THE COURT: Did you take your girlfriend's medication?

THE DEFENDANT: I took a Tylenol 4 but it was, yeah, the Tylenol 4, that's what I told them. But I thought that was codeine. It was a -- oxycodone was the same thing. I did have a cyst -- I gave her the prescription. I gave her the prescription. I had a cyst. I had a little minor surgery and

I had the prescription and it -- and it validated around the dates.

And as far as me taking the urinalysis, I was going to be taking a urinalysis and working. So, and for the violations before, I was -- yes, I was here before, but as far -- I was here for a Class A violation and Class C, all for coming late, not showing up and the reason why I wasn't showing up, last time I was here, it was for, like, minor infractions.

So I know it seems like I've been here a lot and, yes, I did say I needed the drugs. I -- I was seeking drug treatment. I was seeking -- now, she was coming around, I was talking -- and she, like, commended me for how I changed, and I was seeking drug treatment. I had to go to the V.A. to get my medicine.

So as far as the 36 months and I've been -- I was incarcerated for nine months for the last time I was here.

So, it wasn't like I was just, you guys, I was just getting a slap on the wrist. I -- I was incarcerated nine months so -- and this -- and I've been incarcerated two months so you might not have to revoke my probation but I was serving jail time and these are Class C violations, all for minor infractions.

I was going to take my urinalysis.

When she came to my house, my mother's house, she gave a slip to my mother's boyfriend. I didn't get that slip

Statement by Defendant

till two weeks later. She never called or even mailed the letter. He's senile so I just looked into the mail and I seen it and the -- the warrant was already issued. So, it was either turn myself in or get locked up or continue working.

So, I know it seems like I've been here over and over again but I was here for a Class -- eight, nine months arrested for a Class A violation that I didn't do, you know, and these are Class C violations. All together, I've been -- and my sentence was only 19 months for my total sentence and then to get -- try to get me for 36 months for these minor infractions.

I know I need help and I know I put myself in situations that I shouldn't, I know, I used bad judgment but it's an ongoing thing with the addiction. And I did seek help and she knows that I seek help -- it was for six months I've been free and as you -- my fiancé, had a lot of things to do. I was living with my mother.

The stress of living with my mother and dealing with that, working with no car and I was -- the last time I was here, I showed you that I was taking care of my daughter in -- she goes to college in Florida. I gave her money orders. I need to be out here on the street. But as Mr. Troyer's trying to say that I've been getting slaps on the wrist and I haven't.

The last time, I was in nine months. I've been

2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

locked up two months. That's a -- I put myself in situations where I should not. At my age, I should not be putting myself there.

As far as my addiction, yes, I -- it's -- it's something I have to take care of myself and being locked up is not because I could get out and still do the same thing. I'm working now and I can verify that. That's -- they went and -this is the first time. No one contacted me, no one gave -not only this, this is the first time me saying this.

I've been locked up two months so I never saw -- the reason why I'm giving -- bringing this stuff is because this is the first time I even read this. So how can I present something to the Court earlier and no one comes to see me so I can present it to the Court. I'm just over across the street sitting.

So as far as the 36 months, I know I violated but they're making it look worse than it really is. I was taking the urinalysis. If it -- ask her how many times that I did take the urinalysis. She comes to my house knowing that I'm at work. So if you come in the daytime and then you give a letter to my mother's boyfriend --

THE COURT: How does she know that you're at work? You didn't tell her that you were working.

THE DEFENDANT: I -- I told her if the conditions was for me to get a job, she knew that I was working. If --

if I went and -- if she didn't -- she would have violated me
if she knew I was -- I was out there three months and finding
a job -
THE COURT: She just told me you didn't tell her

THE COURT: She just told me you didn't tell her that you were working at PH --

THE DEFENDANT: I said, with PHA -- at the time, I wasn't working at PHA. I was working over in Jersey. I've -- see, the slips, the monthly -- she got -- she got to have the monthly slips that my pay. Tell her to produce them. Produce the slips. She had -- for me, I had to fill out one every month. I know she has them. She knew I was working. She has to have them. As to -- I filled them out.

Every time you go to Probation, I filled out the month -- monthly reports, okay. Ask her, do she have them. She told me that, she wouldn't -- she would have violated me way back then if I didn't fill them out. So, she's coming in today saying that I never filled out a monthly report. And I -- my lawyer asked if I did -- if she can produce a monthly report, that will prove that -- that she knew I was working over in Jersey and I know she has to have one.

THE COURT: Anything else?

MR. BRENNAN: I'm going to wait until Mr. Williams is finished.

THE DEFENDANT: I would like for her to produce the monthly reports that I $\operatorname{--}$ I mean, she has to have it.

THE COURT: Mr. Brennan?

MR. BRENNAN: You finished?

THE DEFENDANT: Yeah.

MR. BRENNAN: Your Honor, I do have some other remarks.

As often happens in -- in various matters with the Court, there's a difference in the style or approach between the lawyers' manner of handling things and the client's. Mr. Williams certainly has the right to speak but what I was about to say earlier was, the case is what it is. This is not the first time we've been here; however, Your Honor, I'd ask the Court when the Government asked you to warehouse Mr. Williams, it's excessive, Your Honor.

If this was the first time we were here, I would ask the Court to give Mr. Williams every opportunity, which the Court has done in the past. But to -- to jump from that end of the spectrum to a request to warehouse him when really there -- it appears to me, and I argue to the Court, hopefully, it appears to the Court, that the difficulties Mr. Williams has had in complying with what would seem to be some fairly straightforward, easy to comply with rules and regulations, seem to be substance abuse related.

I would argue to the Court that there's no need to warehouse a defendant who is working. He did not notify the P.O. for several reasons, not the least of which was as he

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you, Your Honor.

Judge, well, also, just so the record's clear, Your

said earlier, he was afraid to notify the P.O. for -- or notify the job for fear of losing the job. And during his time out, the -- the recurring problem is this inability to deal with the substance abuse issues.

So, I'd ask the Court to fashion an appropriate sentence, not a warehousing-type sentence. I don't think it's appropriate. I arque it's not appropriate but whatever sentence the Court fashions, I'd ask -- I think the Government's in agreement that Mr. Williams be credited for the nine months that he has been in. It may be longer now because I believe that nine months was from a prior incarceration related to this matter and that drug therapy be part of the program.

Mr. Williams has asked for it and I think that it's obvious that he's in need of it and --

THE COURT: Well, it's not -- he says he doesn't need it and there's no evidence that he failed to report to the probation officer because he was having drug issues.

MR. BRENNAN: It's -- it's a bit of a conundrum, Your Honor, but I -- I believe that he may -- he may -- and he certainly just reiterated to me, he may have walked the line on that so to speak but he does need it. He's aware that he needs it.

Honor. I did, in fact, meet with Mr. Williams at the FDC, I think, about a week or ten days ago and we discussed the fact that these were Class C violations and what the ranges were.

And Ms. Badia was in my office, I believe, on Monday and I -- I don't believe that we had a copy of the petition to give her. We did give her the docket entry so Mr. Williams and I certainly have discussed this matter prior to today.

THE DEFENDANT: One thing, Your Honor, I was -- Ms. Schoonmaker know -- knows that I was seeking outpatient treatment on Germantown Avenue. I was going three times a week so I did make the -- she knew I was trying to better myself. So, I did not disregard my probation. I did -- I know that I need help.

So what the V.A. does, they -- they send you out to certain outpatient treatment. You have to go three times and they -- is called ARU. I was going every week. I was going and she knew I was going every week. So what -- what would happen was, when they got into the urinalysis, the confusion -- I would go take the urinalysis and I wouldn't go see her but she was coming around on the home visits.

So as far as my thing is, I can't make -- I used some bad judgments -- when I seen that she gave me that letter saying that she would put a warrant for my arrest if I didn't go see her, it was two weeks after she gave me the letter.

So, that's where the confusion came in. It wasn't like I was

just -- like I walked out and was not going to see her when -going to take my urinalysis. That's where the problem came
in. That's where the problem came in, but I was -- she knew
that I was going to outpatient treatment.

As far as me going to the inpatient treatment, they said that I didn't qualify for the inpatient treatment as of that moment.

THE COURT: You were arrested for burglary?

THE DEFENDANT: Right.

THE COURT: Where were you when you were arrested?

THE DEFENDANT: I was -- I was -- I was at my grandmother's house.

THE COURT: Thank you.

THE DEFENDANT: All right.

THE COURT: Supervised release is revoked. The defendant is committed to the custody of the Bureau of Prisons for a period of 30 months.

The defendant has in the past been given by this

Court many opportunities to comply with the conditions of

supervised release. More than once, the Court has reiterated

to the defendant the importance of reporting. This defendant

took it upon himself not to report. He made bad choices. He

now asks the Court to excuse him from those bad choices.

In effect, he sought to administer his own supervision, to be the Judge in the case, in effect. Well,

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

he's not the Judge in the case and he deserves to be punished for his violations and he is being punished for 30 months. The conditions, a fine, and special assessment are reimposed.

The defendant will be given credit for the time he's been in Federal custody awaiting disposition of this petition. That's two months at most as I understand it.

If he's been in incarceration in the state system on the burglary charge, that's not to be credited.

The nine months previously imposed for previous violations is not credited.

Anything further?

MR. BRENNAN: Your Honor, three -- two and a half or actually three requests; one would be that to the degree that the Court is willing that the Court recommend to the BOP that, if it's practical, that they house Mr. Williams as close to Philadelphia as possible.

The second is that drug treatment be made available to Mr. Williams at whatever facility he's at that he's -because he is in need of it and he did acknowledge again today that he's in need of it.

With regard to the -- to the nine months, I -- I would ask the Court because I don't believe there's any disagreement from the Government, I think it's unclear, frankly, where if anywhere those nine months were credited to Mr. Williams. So, I would ask the Court that if the BOP

Sentence by the Court decides that the nine months should've been credited for the 1 2 Federal sentence, that the Court allow it. THE COURT: If the Bureau of Prisons determines that 3 4 nine months should be credited in addition to other time, 5 then, nothing that I've said will countermand that. 6 MR. BRENNAN: Understood, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: I don't -- I don't see that these two 8 things are connected. These are new violations. 9 With respect to the request that he be incarcerated 10 at someplace close to Philadelphia, that request is denied. 11 The Bureau of Prisons will place him wherever he can be 12 placed. 13 With the request that he be enrolled in a drug 14 treatment program, I don't think that such exists. The Court 15 will recommend to the Bureau of Prisons two things; that he 16 participate in a drug counseling program, and number two, that 17 he be permitted to work in the prison work program under the 18 Financial Responsibility Program so as to pay the fine and -and the special assessment. 19 MR. BRENNAN: I didn't hear that, Your Honor. 20 21 sorry. 22 THE COURT: So as to pay the fine and the special

assessment.

MR. TROYER: I think that it wasn't a fine, but actually restitution. I think it was --

23

24

25

THE COURT: Or restitution.

MR. TROYER: -- \$4,557.38 which was previously imposed by -- by the Court but is -- has not been paid.

THE COURT: The restitution and the special assessment, those obligations are reimposed.

Is there anything further?

MR. BRENNAN: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I'm confused about this nine months.

MR. TROYER: I -- I've conferred with the -- the probation officer. Apparently, he was -- it took the state some time to pick him up for the warrant in the last charge. It's -- it's not on the pending warrant. It's on the previous warrant. So, I -- I don't know that he gets nine months credit time served for -- for not being picked up by the state on -- on the previous warrant.

I think it's just a -- I think that would only -- he would only get Federal credit for time served for -- for this violation for the current warrant.

MR. BRENNAN: Your Honor, I spoke yesterday with Ms. Schoonmaker about this very issue because I -- I discussed it with the defendant at the FDC last week and none of us are crystal clear on where it will go. That's the BOP's decision. But my -- my argument and for the record I would state that it's my understanding -- my recollection that he was incarcerated for nine months as a result of the pending

Sentence by the Court

violation of -- prior to this. We were here, I believe, on August 3rd of the year 2006 and I'd ask that -- and I don't believe there was any other sentence, Federal or state, that that time was credited to. I believe, he was incarcerated because of Your Honor's detainer.

Ms. Schoonmaker can correct me if I'm wrong but, if that's the case, I would -- I would argue to the Court and, hopefully, the BOP will see it that if he did nine months and it was related to this case and a violation in this case albeit an earlier violation, that it should be credited to the sentence.

THE COURT: There being nothing else, Court stands adjourned. The defendant is remitted to the custody of the Bureau of Prisons.

MR. BRENNAN: Should I advise the defendant, Your Honor?

MR. TROYER: In terms of the --

THE COURT: The defendant has ten days from today to file a notice of appeal with the Court of Appeals.

Likewise, the Government has ten days from today to file a notice of appeal.

MR. BRENNAN: Thank you, Your Honor. May we be excused?

MR. TROYER: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes. Thank you.